

Can Bedside Focused Ultrasonography Cause Confusion in Patient Diagnosis?

Sefa Erdi Ömür^{1*} , Çağrı Zorlu¹ ¹Department of Cardiology, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Türkiye

Received: 2025-08-04

Accepted: 2025-09-18

Published Online: 2025-10-01

Corresponding Author

Sefa Erdi Ömür, MD

Address: Department of Cardiology, Tokat Gaziosmanpaşa University, Tokat, Türkiye**E-mail:** sefaerdi61@gmail.com

© 2025. The copyright of this article is retained by the author(s).

OPEN  ACCESSThis work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

This license permits the free sharing and adaptation of the work for non-commercial purposes, provided that appropriate attribution is given to the original author(s) and to its initial publication in this journal.

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the article “Diagnostic Evaluation of Patients Presenting with Dyspnea to the Emergency Department Using Bedside Focused Ultrasonography” written by Bozkurt and colleagues and published in the European Journal of Therapeutics Eur J Ther. 2025;31(3):137-145 (1). We would like to ask the authors some questions about the article and the study. Our first question to the authors is who performed the bedside ultrasound procedures, and are there any other confounding diagnoses that might be overlooked during diagnosis (such as chronic thromboembolism associated with acute heart failure, or flap failure with preserved ejection fraction associated with hyperclassificatory pulmonary edema). When diagnosing heart failure in patients, biomarkers such as BNP and NT-proBNP should be studied, particularly in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction also, whether evidence of cardiac structural and/or functional abnormalities consistent with the presence of LV diastolic dysfunction/raised LV filling pressures is checked on echocardiography (2). Whether patients diagnosed with pulmonary embolism have acute or chronic pulmonary embolism? If acute pulmonary embolism is present, whether the patients are hemodynamically stable or not should be specified. D-dimer should be measured first in hemodynamically stable patients. Right heart changes are not specific for pulmonary embolism (3). Specifically, in Table 3, an IVC diameter greater than 2.1 mm is included among the echocardiographic findings of right heart failure and pulmonary hypertension. However, could the fact that right ventricular dilatation was detected in only nine patients have caused diagnostic confusion? These are the questions we would like to ask politely of the esteemed authors.

Yours sincerely,

REFERENCES

- [1] Bozkurt M, Yıldırım C. (2025). Diagnostic evaluation of patients presenting with dyspnea to the emergency department using bedside focused ultrasonography. *Eur J Ther.* 31(3):137-145. <https://doi.org/10.58600/eurjther2618>
- [2] McDonagh TA, Metra M, Adamo M, Gardner RS, Baumbach A, et al. (2023). 2023 focused update of the 2021 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: Developed by the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. *Eur J Heart Fail.* 44(37):3627-3639. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.3024>
- [3] Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing GJ, et al. (2020). 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur J Heart Fail.* 41(4):543-603. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz405>

How to Cite;

Omur SE, Zorlu C (2025) Can Bedside Focused Ultrasonography Cause Confusion in Patient Diagnosis?. *Eur J Ther.* 31(5):369-370. <https://doi.org/10.58600/eurjther2812>